

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,270		11/14/2003	Fridolin Babler	CO/2-22801/P1/CGC 2134 2019		
324	7590	10/04/2004		EXAMINER		
		CHÉMICALS CO	GREEN, ANTHONY J			
PATENT DEPARTMENT 540 WHITE PLAINS RD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX	2005		1755 DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004			
TARRYT	OWN, NY	10591-9005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/714,2	70	BABLER, FRIDOLIN			
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit			
		Anthony J	. Green	1755			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no evation.  ys, a reply within the staty period will apply and w	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	oxtimes This action is n	on-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
10)[	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by			• •			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International forms the attached detailed Office action forms.	uments have bee uments have bee ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/19/04&amp;08/02/04</u> .	948) /SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/714,270

Art Unit: 1755

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no Brief Description of the Drawings found in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4-6, 10, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is confusing as it contains improper Markush terminology.

In claim 10, the phrase "obtainable by" is not a positive claim limitation.

In claim 17 the use of the term "preferably" renders the claim vague and indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the term are part of the claimed invention and the scope of the claim is unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

In claim 18, the phrase "obtainable by" is not a positive claim limitation.

Art Unit: 1755

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al (US Patent No. 6,143,807).

The reference teaches, in the claims and the claims, pigment ink jet ink compositions comprising a pigment wherein at least 50% of the pigment particles have an average diameter or size  $\leq 0.3$  microns with the remaining particles in the ink composition having a diameter or size of  $\leq 0.3$  microns, water, a dispersant which is the reaction product of an aldehyde and a naphthalene sulfonate salt.

The instant claims are obvious over the reference. The reference suggests the use of pigments having a particle size encompassed by that which is instantly claimed. Applicant's attention is drawn to example 1, wherein the reference teaches that the majority of the pigment particles (i.e. greater than 50%) are less than 0.1 microns (i.e. 100 nm). Accordingly the limitation of the particle size of the pigment is met by this example of the reference. As for the type of pigment, since the pigment is carbon black having a polyaromatic content it can be considered to be an organic pigment per se. See also column 9, lines 1+, which recite various types of pigments useable, some of which are organic in nature which would render obvious instant claims 3-6. As for the molecular weight of the naphthalene sulfonate formaldehyde polymer (recited in claim 1

obvious over the reference.

and 2) while this is not taught by the reference, applicant has not shown that the use of a polymer having this molecular weight produces results that are not expected. Accordingly it would have been obvious to use a polymer having any molecular weight in the composition of the reference since no specific molecular weight is taught or suggested by the reference. The same holds true for instant claims 7-9, since the reference does not specify a particular polymer it would have been obvious to use any naphthalene sulfonate formaldehyde polymer absent evidence showing otherwise as the type of polymer utilized in the reference does not appear to produce unexpected results. Accordingly based on the above reasoning, the instant claims are deemed

Page 4

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 11-16 are allowable over the art of record, as the prior art fails to teach and/or fairly suggest the instant process.
- 7. Claims 10 and 17-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Information Disclosure Statement

9. The references have been considered however they are not seen to teach and/or fairly suggest the instant invention.

## References Cited By The Examiner

10. The remaining references are cited as showing the general state of the art and as such, they are not seen to teach or fairly suggest the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J.

Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony J. Gréen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

ajg September 29, 2004